

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/747,895	12/29/2003	Ho Gie Chung	11037-174-999	6937	
24341 7:	590 12/06/2004		EXAMINER		
MORGAN, L	EWIS & BOCKIUS, LI	LP.	ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN		
2 PALO ALTO 3000 EL CAM			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO,	CA 94306		3612		
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/747,895	CHUNG, HO GIE	E
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	···
	Patricia L Engle	3612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		·
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E			its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			• .
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2003 is/a		ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)	. <u>_</u>		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/03.	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/747,895 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph 0011, line 6, "pipe nut 12" should be --pipe nut 13--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ando et al. (JP 10-001064) in view of Selby (US Patent 4,492,817).

Ando et al. disclose a mounting structure of a sub-frame of a vehicle, comprising: a sub-frame having an upper member and a gusset (11) welded to a lower surface of the upper member; a pipe nut (5) disposed between the upper member and the gusset (11) and connected to a bolt assembly (6) at a bottom portion thereof; a reinforcing member (7) having a hole for

Art Unit: 3612

inserting the pipe nut interposed between an upper surface of the gusset (11) and partially welded to the pipe nut (5).

Ando et al. do not disclose an O-ring seal member disposed on an upper surface of the reinforcing member circumferentially around the pipe nut, wherein a flange portion is formed around the hole of the reinforcing member and a recess is formed on a lower surface of the pipe nut for receiving the O-ring seal member and the flange portion.

Selby discloses a pipe nut (36) with an O-ring seal member (60) disposed on an upper surface of the reinforcing member (12) circumferentially around the pipe nut (36), wherein a flange portion (66) is formed around the hole of the reinforcing member (12) and a recess (62) is formed on a lower surface of the pipe nut (36) for receiving the O-ring seal member (60) and the flange portion (66).

Ando et al. and Selby are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, i.e., joining two bodies with a pipe nut.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include an upper flange on the reinforcing member (7) of Ando et al. and an O-ring to seal the pipe nut.

The motivation would have been reinforce the gusset and the hole while sealing the pipe nut.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Selby with Ando et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1 and 2.

Art Unit: 3612

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art discloses other mounting means for vehicle sub-frames.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L Engle whose telephone number is (703) 306-5777. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L Engle Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

ple

December 2, 2004